

POU RPS Targets

| Utility Name | RPS Target(s) | RPS Timeframe |
|--|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| Large POU's (retail sales greater than 10 million MWh per year) | | |
| Los Angeles Department of Water & Power (LADWP) | 20% 35% | 2010 2020 |
| Sacramento Municipal Utility District (SMUD) | 20% 33% | 2010 2020 |
| Medium POU's (retail sales of 750,000 to 10 million MWh per year) | | |
| Anaheim, City of | 20% | 2015 |
| Burbank, City of | 10% 33% | 2011 2020 |
| Glendale, City of | 20% | 2017 |
| Imperial Irrigation District | 20% 23% 26% 33% | 2012 2014 2017 2020 |
| Modesto Irrigation District | 20% | 2017 |
| Palo Alto, City of | 20% 30% 33% | 2008 2012 2015 |
| Pasadena, City of | 15% 33% 40% | 2010 2015 2020 |
| Redding Electric Utility | 20% | 2017 |
| Riverside, City of | 20% 25% 33% | 2010 2015 2020 |
| Roseville Electric | 20% | 2017 |
| San Francisco, City and County of | n/d | n/d |
| Silicon Valley Power (SVP) | 20% 24% 28% 33% | 2010 2013 2017 2020 |
| Turlock Irrigation District | 20% | 2017 |
| Vernon, City of | 20% | 2017 |
| Small POU's (retail sales less than 750,000 MWh per year) | | |
| Alameda Municipal Power | 40% | 2009 |
| Azusa Light & Power | 20% 33% | 2010 2020 |
| Banning, City of | 33% | 2020 |
| Biggs Municipal Utilities | 20% | No Specified Date |
| Cerritos, City of | 20% | 2020 |
| Colton Electric Utility | n/a | 2020 |
| Corona, City of | 20% | 2017 |

| | | |
|---|--------------------|-------------------|
| Eastside Power Authority | 2% 20% | 2010 2020 |
| Gridley Electric Utility | 20% | No Specified Date |
| Healdsburg, City of | 20% | 2017 |
| Hercules Municipal Utility | 100% | 2006 |
| Industry, City of | n/d | n/d |
| Lassen Municipal Utility District | 20% | 2020 |
| Lodi Electric Utility | 20% | 2010 |
| Lompoc, City of | 20% | No Specified Date |
| Merced Irrigation District | 15.5% | 2012 |
| Moreno Valley Electrical Utility | n/d | n/d |
| Needles, City of | 33% | 2020 |
| Pittsburg, City of | 20% 33% | 2010 2020 |
| Plumas-Sierra Rural Electric Cooperative | 20% | 2017 |
| Port of Oakland | 20% | 2009 |
| Port of Stockton | 20% | n/a |
| Power & Water Resources Pooling Authority (PWRPA) | 10% 20% | 2010 2020 |
| Rancho Cucamonga Municipal Utility | 20% 33% | 2010 2020 |
| Shasta Lake, City of | 20% | No Specified Date |
| Shelter Cove Resort Improvement District | n/d | n/d |
| Trinity Public Utilities District | No Specific Target | n/a |
| Truckee Donner Public Utilities District | 21% | 2010 |
| Ukiah, City of | 20% | No Specified Date |
| Victorville Municipal Utilities Services | 20% | 2017 |

| Large Hydro Included | Green Power Sales Count Toward RPS? |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | |
| Partial | No |
| No | No |
| | |
| Yes | No |
| Only if "low impact" | No |
| Yes | Yes |
| Only if "low impact" | Yes |
| No | Yes |
| No | No |
| No | No |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |
| n/d | n/d |
| No | No |
| No | No |
| Yes | No |
| | |
| Yes | No |
| n/d | No |
| No | No |
| No | No |

| | |
|-----|-----|
| Yes | Yes |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |
| No | No |
| n/d | n/d |
| No | No |
| Yes | No |
| No | No |
| No | No |
| n/d | n/d |
| No | No |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |
| n/d | No |
| No | No |
| n/d | No |
| Yes | No |
| n/d | n/d |
| Yes | No |
| Yes | No |
| n/d | No |

Notes

LADWP counts towards its RPS target the generation from its Owens Valley Aqueduct and Gorge hydroelectric facilities, which include plants with nameplate capacity greater than 30 MW. LADWP also counts the renewable content in generic system power purchases toward its RPS.

SMUD has an overall renewables goal, which includes both its RPS and it's green power program, of 23.6% by 2010.

Palo Alto's RPS can be met only by new renewables constructed or re-powered after October 2002.

Roseville counts the renewable content in generic system power purchases toward its RPS.

Alameda's RPS policy is to maintain a minimum of 40% renewable through 2020.

Eastside Power Authority counts the renewable content in generic system power purchases toward its RPS.

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |

Plumas-Sierra is a rural electric cooperative, and as such, is not obligated by state law to adopt an RPS policy.

Port of Oakland has also adopted a less formal objective of meeting 40% of its load with renewables by 2020

Port of Stockton does not have a specific target year

The PWRPA RPS Target is based on the Retail Sales that were not served by WAPA Base Resource (called Non-Tier 1 Load). PWRPA considers its WAPA Base Resource to be renewable, but it is not counted towards PWRPA's percentage RPS Targets.

Rancho Cucamonga counts the renewable content in generic system power purchases toward its RPS.

| |
|--|
| |
| |

Trinity PUD has a legislative right to up to 25 percent of the energy from the Trinity River Division of the Central Valley Project, which will provide enough generation to fully meet Trinity PUD's entire load for the foreseeable future. As such, Trinity PUD does not have a specific RPS percentage target, but plans to acquire only CEC-eligible resources for meeting all future resource needs not supplied by its legislative right to hydroelectric generation from the Trinity River. For this reason, Trinity's RPS timeframe and incremental needs are identified as "n/a"

| |
|--|
| |
| |
| |